

## Scoring and Evaluation Criteria for Renewal/Expansion Projects

The scoring and evaluation process ensures project applications are assessed transparently and consistently, with an emphasis on alignment with HUD and CoC priorities, local needs, and system performance improvements.

### Renewal Scoring Summary

Criterion	Maximum Points
<b>A. Objective Criteria and Performance Measures</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Length of Stay</li> <li>2. Exits to Permanent Housing</li> <li>3. Returns to Homelessness</li> <li>4. Increased Earned Income for Stayers</li> <li>5. Increased Non-Employment Income for Stayers</li> <li>6. Increased Earned Income for Leavers</li> <li>7. Increased Non-Employment Income for Leavers</li> <li>8. Maintained Earned Income for Stayers</li> <li>9. Maintained Non-Employment Income for Stayers</li> <li>10. Maintained Earned Income for Leavers</li> <li>11. Maintained Non-Employment Income for Leavers</li> <li>12. % of participants with a disability</li> <li>13. % of participants entering project from place not meant for habitation</li> <li>14. Coordinated Entry Participation</li> <li>15. HMIS Data Quality</li> <li>16. Program Cost Effectiveness</li> <li>17. Grant Spenddown</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>6 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>6 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>1 point</li> <li>3 point</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>5 points</li> </ol> <p><b>Subtotal: 80</b></p>
<b>B. Required Services and Participation</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Supportive Services Provision</li> <li>2. Service Participation Requirement</li> <li>3. Participant Engagement and Retention</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10 points</li> <li>10 points</li> <li>10 points</li> </ol> <p><b>Subtotal: 30</b></p>
<b>C. Coordination</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Leveraging Housing Resources/Partnerships</li> <li>2. Leveraging Healthcare and Mainstream Resources/Partnerships</li> <li>3. Street Outreach Implementation and Encampment Reduction</li> <li>4. Involvement of individuals with lived experience of homelessness</li> <li>5. Cooperation with Law Enforcement/Public Safety Organization</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>5 points</li> <li>2 points</li> <li>2 points</li> </ol>

6. Coordination with Planning Efforts to Prevent Homelessness from Public Systems 7. Collaboration Related to Children and Youth 8. Coordination with Veteran Organizations 9. Coordination with Victim Service Providers 10. Partnering with Public Housing Agencies 11. CoC Participation	2 points 2 points 2 points 2 points 2 points 1 point <b>Subtotal: 30</b>
<b>Bonus Points</b> <b>D.</b> Transition/Reallocation <b>E.</b> Opportunity Zones <b>F.</b> Verification of Immigration Status using SAVE	5 points 1 point 1 point
<b>Total Points:</b>	
140 points + 7 points	

Rating Factor	To Receive Maximum Points	Calculation and Dataset	CoC Average/Standard	Scoring RRH/TH	Scoring PSH
A.1: Length of Stay	Demonstrate that participants move from project entry to permanent housing placement within a timeframe that exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 22c, Row 26, Column B	TH/RRH – 33 PSH – 29	5pts: 33 days or less 4pts: 34 - 40 3pts: 41 - 48 2pts: 49 - 56 1pts: 57 - 64 0pts: 65 or greater	5pts: 29 days or less 4pts: 30 - 37 3pts: 38 - 45 2pts: 46 - 53 1pts: 54 - 61 0pts: 62 or greater
A.2: Exits to Permanent Housing	Demonstrate that participants exit to permanent housing at a rate that exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 23c, Row 46, Column B Divided by Total (row 54, column B)	TH/RRH – 77% PSH – 53%	5pts: 83% or greater 4pts: 77% - 82% 3pts: 72% - 76% 2pts: 67% - 71% 1pts: 62% - 66% 0pts: 61% or less	5pts: 66% or greater 4pts: 53% - 65% 3pts: 48% - 52% 2pts: 43% - 47% 1pts: 38% - 42% 0pts: 37% or less

A.3: Returns to homelessness	Demonstrate that participants return to homelessness at a percentage rate that is below the CoC average for returns within 6-12 months.	System Performance Measures (SPM) Measure 2a and 2b, row 25, column 5,9	TH/RRH – 6-12 months: 1%  PSH – 6-12 months: 0%	5pts: 1% or less 4pts: 2% 3pts: 3% 2pts: 4% 1pts: 5% 0pts: 6% or greater	5pts: 1% or less 4pts: 2% 3pts: 3% 2pts: 4% 1pts: 5% 0pts: 6% or greater
A.4: Increased income for stayers	Demonstrate that participants increase earned income at a rate that exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 19a1, row 16, column E+F, divided by column H	TH/RRH – 42% PSH – 10%	6pts: 55% or greater 5pts: 50%-54% 4pts: 46%-49% 3pts: 42%-45% 2pts: 38%-41% 1pts: 34%-37% 0pts: 33% or less	6pts: 20% or greater 5pts: 15%- 19% 4pts: 12%- 14% 3pts: 10%-11% 2pts: 8%-9% 1pts: 6%-7% 0pts: 5% or less
A.5: Increased non-employment income for stayers	Demonstrate that participants increase of non-employment income at a rate that exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 19a1, row 18, column(s) E+F divided by column H	TH/RRH – 47% PSH – 65.5%	5pts: 65% or greater 4pts: 59% - 64% 3pts: 54% - 58% 2pts: 49% - 53% 1pts: 45%- 48% 0pts: 44% or less	5pts: 75% or greater 4pts: 70% - 74% 3pts: 65% - 69% 2pts: 60% - 64% 1pts: 55% - 59% 0pts: 54% or less
A.6: Increased earned income for leavers	Demonstrate that participants who exit the project increase earned income at a rate that exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 19a2, row 16, column E+F divided by column H	TH/RRH – 13.5% PSH – 12%	6pts: 20% or greater 5pts: 17%-19% 4pts: 14%-16% 3pts: 11%- 13% 2pts: 8%- 10% 1pts: 5%-7% 0pts: 4% or less	6pts: 20% or greater 5pts: 17%-19% 4pts: 14%-16% 3pts: 11%- 13% 2pts: 8%- 10% 1pts: 5%-7% 0pts: 4% or less
A.7: Increased non-employment for leavers	Demonstrate that participants who exit the project increase non-earned income at a rate that exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 19a2, row 18, column(s) E+F divided by column H	TH/RRH – 6.1% PSH – 36 %	5pts: 39% or greater 4pts: 34% - 38% 3pts: 29%- 33% 2pts: 24% - 28% 1pts: 19% - 23% 0pts: 18% or less	5pts: 61% or greater 4pts: 56% - 60% 3pts: 51% - 55% 2pts: 46% - 50% 1pts: 41% - 45% 0pts: 40% or less

A.8: Maintained earned income for stayers	Demonstrate that participants increase earned income at a rate that exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 19a1, row 16, column D, divided by column H	TH/RRH – 5.3% PSH – 0%	5pts: 10% or greater 4pts: 8%-9% 3pts: 6%-7% 2pts: 4%-5% 1pts: 2%-3% 0pts: 1% or less	5pts: 10% or greater 4pts: 8%-9% 3pts: 6%-7% 2pts: 4%-5% 1pts: 2%-3% 0pts: 1% or less
A.9: Maintained non-employment income for stayers	Demonstrate that participants maintain non-employment income at a rate that exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 19a1, row 18, column(s) D divided by column H	TH/RRH – 10.5 % PSH – 3.4%	5pts: 16% or greater 4pts: 14%-15% 3pts: 11%-13% 2pts: 9%-10% 1pts: 7%-8% 0pts: 6% or less	5pts: 8% or greater 4pts: 6%-7% 3pts: 4%-5% 2pts: 3% 1pts: 1%-2% 0pts: 0%
A.10: Maintained earned income for leavers	Demonstrate that participants who exit the project maintained earned income at a rate that exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 19a2, row 16, column D divided by column H	TH/RRH – 25% PSH – 11%	5pts: 35% or greater 4pts: 31%-34% 3pts: 26%-30% 2pts: 22%-25% 1pts: 18%-21% 0pts: 17% or less	5pts: 18% or greater 4pts: 15%-17% 3pts: 12%-14% 2pts: 10%-11% 1pts: 7%-9% 0pts: 6% or less
A.11: Maintained non-employment for leavers	Demonstrate that participants who exit the project maintain non-earned income at a rate that exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 19a2, row 18, column(s) D divided by column H	TH/RRH – 26% PSH – 18%	5pts: 36% or greater 4pts: 32%-35% 3pts: 27%-31% 2pts: 23%-26% 1pts: 19%-22% 0pts: 18% or less	5pts: 28% or greater 4pts: 24%-27% 3pts: 19%-23% 2pts: 15%-18% 1pts: 11%-14% 0pts: 10% or less
A.12: % of participants with a disabling condition	Demonstrate that the percentage of participants entering the project with more than one disability exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 13a2, column B, rows 17+18+19 divided by row 23	TH/RRH – 43% PSH – 92%	1pts: 43% or greater 0pts: 42% or less	1pts: 92% or greater 0pts: 91% or less

A.13: % of participants entering from place not meant for habitation	Demonstrate that the percentage of participants entering the project from a place not meant for human habitation exceeds the CoC average.	Annual Performance Report (APR) Question 15, row 17 Column B divided by row 20 Column B	TH/RRH – 50% PSH – 71%	3pts: 55% or greater 2pts: 50%-54% 1pts: 45%-49% 0pts: 44% or less	3pts: 76% or greater 2pts: 71%-75% 1pts: 66%-70% 0pts: 65% or less
A.14: Coordinated Entry participation	Demonstrate that 100% of project enrollments are linked to referrals from the CoC's Coordinated Entry System.	(KSHC Generated Looker Report)	95%	5pts: 100% 4pts: 96% - 99% 3pts: 95% 0pts: 94% or less	
A.15: HMIS Data Quality	Demonstrate that program data quality exceeds the CoC data quality standard.	System Performance Measure (SPM) Data Quality Current FY Destination issues divided by Total Leavers-1 = Data Quality Score (good quality Data)	TH/RRH – 94% PSH – 94%	5pts: 100% 4pts: 97% - 99% 3pts: 94% - 96% 2pts: 91% - 93% 1pts: 85% - 90% 0pts: 84% or less	
A.16 Program cost Effectiveness	Demonstrate that the project's cost per permanent housing exit or retention is lower than the CoC average.	Calculated by dividing total HUD CoC funding in FY 2024 by the number of participants who exited to or retained permanent housing, as reported in the APR.	TH/RRH – 580 PSH – 17  Total Award for FY2024 = \$3,621,567  TH/RRH – \$2,068,225 PSH – \$661,664	3pts: \$3,564 or less 2pts: \$3,565 - \$4,000 1pts: \$4,001 - \$4,500 0pts: \$4,501 or greater	3pts: \$38,920 or less 2pts: \$38,921 - \$40,000 1pts: \$40,001 - \$45,000 0pts: \$45,001 or greater
A.17 Grant Spenddown	Demonstrate full expenditure of awarded funds within the grant term.	Calculated by dividing the total amount drawn down in eLOCCS by the total amount awarded for the most recently completed grant term.	95%	3pts: 100% 2pts: 96% to 99.9% 1pts: 95% to 95.9% 0pts: <95%	

B.1: Supportive Services Provision	Evaluate the type, availability, and coordination of supportive services proposed to meet the needs of the target population.	<p>10 pts: Offers a comprehensive array of supportive services (e.g., case management, behavioral health, life skills, employment) that are available on-site or through formal partnerships, with clear documentation (MOUs, staffing plans, or service protocols).</p> <p>9 pts: Provides a broad and well-coordinated set of services tailored to participant needs, with some formal agreements and dedicated service staff.</p> <p>7 pts: Provides core services (e.g., case management, referrals, housing navigation), with basic coordination infrastructure (e.g., internal staffing or informal partnerships).</p> <p>5 pts: Offers supportive services with moderate scope or consistency, with partial alignment to the needs of the population or limited delivery pathways.</p> <p>3 pts: Describes general or basic services with limited clarity on access, staffing, or delivery structure.</p> <p>1 pt: Mentions services, but lacks explanation of type, frequency, or method of delivery.</p> <p>0 pts: No supportive services are provided or planned.</p>	
B.2: Service Participation Requirement	Incorporates service participation requirements based on individual needs and documents them in occupancy agreements or equivalent	<p>10 pts: Project has implemented service participation expectations consistent with HUD's FY2025 requirement or has a clear, time-bound commitment to do so, with narrative explaining how expectations will be structured and communicated.</p> <p>9 pts: Project has a detailed plan to implement service participation expectations but lacks some minor elements (e.g., materials in development, staff training underway).</p> <p>7 pts: Project is in the early stages of planning and describes an intent to comply, with some implementation steps identified.</p> <p>5 pts: Project acknowledges the new HUD requirement and expresses general intent to comply, but no plan or steps are included.</p> <p>3 pts: Project currently uses a mixed model (e.g., requires some services but not others) and provides no plan to align with the new requirement.</p> <p>0 pts: Project operates with fully voluntary service participation and does not plan to implement HUD's required expectations.</p>	
B.3: Participant Engagement and Retention	The project describes all of the following strategies to promote participant engagement and housing retention: (1) Regular, scheduled contact (e.g., monthly case management); (2) Reassessment of participant needs over time; (3) A plan for re-engaging participants who disengage; (4) Use of supportive services to help participants remain housed.	<p>10 pts: All four strategies are clearly and comprehensively described.</p> <p>8 pts: Three strategies are clearly described.</p> <p>6 pts: Two strategies are clearly described.</p> <p>4 pts: One strategy is clearly described.</p> <p>2 pts: Engagement or retention is mentioned, but none of the four strategies are clearly described.</p> <p>1 pt: Narrative is vague or confusing; minimal effort to describe engagement or retention approaches.</p> <p>0 pts: No engagement or retention strategies are described.</p>	
C.1: Leveraging Housing Resources/Partnerships	Demonstrate coordination with public or private housing providers, including commitments to provide units, subsidies, or prioritization of referrals.	Reviewed through documentation of signed MOUs, formal agreements, or letters of commitment.	<p>5 pts: Applicant provides current, signed MOUs or formal agreements with housing providers, documenting access to units, subsidies, or prioritized referrals.</p> <p>4 pts: Applicant provides letters of commitment or contracts that indicate clear housing access, but not formalized as ongoing agreements.</p> <p>3 pts: Applicant describes active and ongoing informal coordination (e.g., consistent referrals, planning meetings), but lacks formal documentation.</p> <p>2 pts: Applicant references past or occasional collaboration with housing providers but lacks detail or recent engagement.</p>

			<p>1 pt: Applicant expresses intent or general support for partnerships but provides no clear evidence of coordination.</p> <p>0 pts: No documentation or coordination with housing providers described.</p>
C.2: Leveraging Healthcare Resources/Partnerships	Demonstrate formal partnerships with healthcare organizations to provide services, care coordination, or direct funding (e.g., Medicaid billing, in-kind services) to support the program.	Reviewed through documentation of signed agreements or evidence of healthcare funding or service provision.	<p>5 pts: Applicant provides current, signed MOUs or formal agreements with healthcare providers that document care coordination, service delivery, or resource contributions.</p> <p>4 pts: Applicant submits letters of commitment or similar documentation confirming healthcare support or service availability.</p> <p>3 pts: Applicant describes active informal coordination with healthcare partners (e.g., warm handoffs, regular referrals), but lacks formal documentation.</p> <p>2 pts: Applicant references general collaboration or past partnerships but provides limited detail or current engagement.</p> <p>1 pt: Applicant indicates intent to coordinate with healthcare but shows no current activity or documentation.</p> <p>0 pts: No documentation or healthcare coordination described.</p>
C.3 Street Outreach Implementation	Demonstrate that the project either currently implements or commits to implementing street outreach activities aligned with the CoC's Street Outreach strategies.	Reviewed through documentation of current or proposed outreach activities, budgeted staff roles, narrative descriptions, and alignment with CoC policies. For projects making a new commitment, evidence must include a detailed implementation plan or statement of intent.	<p>5 pts: Applicant has a structured street outreach program, a formal partnership (e.g., with law enforcement, co-responders, or outreach teams) focused on encampment engagement, or a clear, time-bound plan to create one.</p> <p>4 pts: Applicant has informal coordination or internal procedures for encampment engagement, or a commitment to establish them within the project term.</p> <p>3 pts: Applicant conducts outreach without structure or encampment focus, or commits to begin outreach with limited detail.</p> <p>2 pts: Applicant provides a general intent to engage in outreach or encampment response, but lacks specific planning or timelines.</p> <p>1 pt: Applicant acknowledges the importance of outreach, but provides no meaningful plan, detail, or structure.</p> <p>0 pts: No outreach activity, description, or plan is provided.</p>
C.4 Involvement of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness	Demonstrate meaningful involvement of individuals with lived experience in program design, governance, or operations.	Reviewed through narrative explanation (e.g., employment, advisory boards, compensated participation).	<p>2 pts: Individuals with lived experience are currently involved in project design, service delivery, or advisory roles, or the organization has a clear, time-bound plan to establish meaningful involvement.</p> <p>1 pt: Organization expresses support for involvement and provides general descriptions, but has no current participation or clear plan to develop it.</p> <p>0 pts: No involvement of individuals with lived experience is described.</p>
C.5 Cooperation with Law Enforcement / Public Safety	Submit a current MOU or formal agreement with a law enforcement or public safety agency, and describe your planned role in a structured initiative such as	Reviewed through narrative explanation or formal documentation	<p>2 pts: Formal MOU with law enforcement/public safety and a clearly defined role in a structured initiative (e.g., diversion, co-responder team, encampment response).</p> <p>1 pt: Evidence/narrative of regular coordination or informal partnership without a formal agreement or structured initiative.</p>

	diversion, co-responder response, or encampment outreach.		0 pts: No collaboration described.
C.6 Coordination with Planning Efforts to Prevent Homelessness from Public Systems	Demonstrate coordination with public institutions (e.g., foster care, behavioral health, hospitals, jails, prisons) to prevent homelessness at discharge. This may include participation in interagency discharge planning, shared protocols, or data-informed coordination strategies.	Reviewed through narrative explanation or formal documentation	<p>2 pts: Demonstrates formal collaboration with at least one system (e.g., MOU, participation in discharge planning group, shared protocols or data use agreements).</p> <p>1 pt: Demonstrates informal communication or case-by-case coordination without formal agreements or systemic planning.</p> <p>0 pts: No coordination demonstrated.</p>
C.7 Collaboration Related to Children and Youth	Demonstrate collaboration with youth- and family-serving systems (e.g., child welfare, schools, juvenile justice, youth shelters) to support children, youth, and families at risk of or experiencing homelessness.	Reviewed through narrative explanation or formal documentation	<p>2 pts: Demonstrates formal partnerships or structured coordination (e.g., MOUs, joint initiatives, active coordination with McKinney-Vento liaisons, foster care, or youth justice systems).</p> <p>1 pt: Demonstrates informal collaboration or limited engagement with youth- or family-serving agencies.</p> <p>0 pts: No collaboration demonstrated.</p>
C.8 Coordination with Veteran Organizations	Demonstrate coordination with veteran-serving agencies to ensure veterans experiencing or at risk of homelessness are identified, engaged, and connected to appropriate housing and services.	Reviewed through narrative explanation or formal documentation	<p>2 pts: Demonstrates formal partnerships (e.g., MOUs, joint case conferencing, referrals, or participation in coordinated entry) with VA-funded or veteran-specific organizations.</p> <p>1 pt: Demonstrates informal or ad hoc communication with veteran providers but lacks formal coordination.</p> <p>0 pts: No coordination demonstrated.</p>
C.9 Coordination with Victim Service Providers	Demonstrate coordination with domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking service providers to ensure access to safe housing and trauma-informed services for survivors.	Reviewed through narrative explanation or formal documentation	<p>2 pts: Demonstrates formal collaboration with VSPs (e.g., MOUs, coordinated entry protocols, use of comparable databases, shared safety planning, supportive services or case conferencing).</p> <p>1 pt: Demonstrates informal coordination or referrals to VSPs without formal agreements or system-level integration.</p> <p>0 pts: No coordination demonstrated.</p>
C.10 Partnering with Public Housing Agencies	Demonstrate coordination with one or more Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) to increase access to subsidized housing for people experiencing homelessness.	Reviewed through narrative explanation or formal documentation	<p>2 pts: Demonstrates formal partnership with a PHA (e.g., MOU, coordinated waiting lists, joint planning, or project-based voucher access for participants).</p> <p>1 pt: Demonstrates informal coordination or occasional referrals to PHAs, without formalized partnership or shared planning.</p> <p>0 pts: No coordination demonstrated.</p>



C.11 CoC Participation	Demonstrate regular and active participation in CoC meetings, workgroups, trainings, and other system-level planning activities.	Verified through CoC meeting attendance records, workgroup participation logs, and committee rosters.	1pts: Meets Requirements 0pts: Does not meet requirements
D. Transition/Reallocation	Demonstrate a full and strategic transition or reallocation of the project to a new eligible component or project type that aligns with KS BoS priorities, addresses system needs, and meets HUD threshold and quality standards.		5pts: Project is fully transitioning to a new eligible component or project type, with a clear rationale, alignment to HUD priorities, and a feasible implementation plan. 4pts: Project is partially reallocated (more than 50%) 3pts: Project reallocates 25–50% 2pts: Project reallocates 10–25% 1pts: Project reallocates less than 10% 0pts: No reallocation or transition proposed.
E. Opportunity Zone	Demonstrate that the project is located within a federally designated Opportunity Zone, as determined by <a href="#">Map of Opportunity Zones   HUD.gov / U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)</a>		1pt: Project is located in an Opportunity Zone.
F. SAVE Use	Demonstrate that the applicant organization verifies immigration status using the SAVE system directly or in coordination with a state or local government, in accordance with the FY2025 CoC Program NOFO Policy Initiative Preference Point criteria.		1pt: Applicant uses the SAVE system for program.